

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,652		07/15/2003	Robert J. Lucas	ADI-095	7282	
51414	7590	10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOODWI		**	KAVANAUC	KAVANAUGH, JOHN T		
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR EXCHANGE PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON,	BOSTON, MA 02109-2881				3728	
	•			DATE MAILED, 10/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/619,652	LUCAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ted Kavanaugh ·	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	entember 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 16-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22,24,36 and 37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14,16-21,23,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 25-33 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	arminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)    Outline of References Cited (PTO-892)   Outline Outli	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P						

Application/Control Number: 10/619652

**Art Unit: 3728** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

Drawing changes filed Sept. 30, 2005 are approved.

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 22,24 and 36-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on Jan 11, 2005. As noted by applicant in paragraph #48, these refer to the non-elected embodment shown in figure 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3-5,9-14,16-21,23 and 34,35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4535553 (Derderian et al).

Derderian teaches a sole comprising a first deformation element (24) comprising a foamed material (see col. 6, lines 4-6) and a second deformation element (22) comprising an open-walled structure free from foam material (see col. 6, lines 6-11). Figures 3,4 and 6 show the plurality of deformation elements having side walls (legs . 32), tension element/upper connecting surface (42), lower and/or upper plates (26). The tension element (42) are centrally located between the sidewalls which comprise of the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/619652

Art Unit: 3728

legs 32. Each sidewall includes an upper leg 32 and a lower leg 32. The deformation elements extend under the entire foot and therefore are arranged in the regions as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derderian et al '553.

Derderian teaches a sole substantially as claimed except is silent with regard to the hardness of the second deformation elements and the thickness of the sidewalls.

The selection of a suitable hardness of the second elements and the thickness of the sidewalls would appear to constitute no more than an obvious design choice inasmuch as a number of different hardness (rigidity) and sidewall thicknesses would appear to be suitable depending on the individual wearer (size of wearer), and the activity for be used for. Therefore, it is the examiner's conclusion that it would have been obvious for an artisan with ordinary skill to determine a workable or even optimum hardness of the second element and sidewall thickness and thereby arrive at the value claimed by the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/619652

Art Unit: 3728

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 25-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claim 38 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed Sept. 30, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Derderian doesn't a second deformation element that includes "at least two side walls and at least one tension element interconnecting **center** regions of the side walls."

In response, see the rejection above wherein the examiner points out that Derderian does teach this.

Applicant argues that the insert member 22 (second deformation) of Derderian is encased in a resilient foam material 24 and therefore doesn't teaches a second deformation structure free from foam material.

To the contrary, the insert member (22) as shown in figure 2 is <u>encased</u> in the resilient foam material, see col. 6, lines 4-61. It doesn't say that the insert member is <u>embedded</u> in the foam structure. Moreover, projections of the insert would not function as desribed if it was embedded.

Application/Control Number: 10/619652

Art Unit: 3728

### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

- 10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- -"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/619652

Art Unit: 3728

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be

obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571) 273-8300</u>

(FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728

at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner

should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The

examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

ΤK

October 16, 2005